Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the

application. Applicants have submitted a new complete claim set showing any marked up claims with insertions indicated by underlining and deletions indicated by strikeouts and/or double

bracketing.

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method for approximating a number of tuples returned by a

database query that comprises a set of predicates that each reference a set of database tables, the

method comprising the steps of:

expressing the query as a query selectivity;

b) determining if the query is separable and if so separating the query selectivity to

form a product of query selectivity factors;

c) if the query is not separable, <u>atomically</u> decomposing the query selectivity to form

a product that comprises a conditional selectivity expression;

d) recursively performing steps b) - c) to determine a selectivity value for each

query selectivity factor;

e) matching any conditional selectivity expression with stored statistics to obtain

statistics that can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expressions and using the

statistics to obtain an estimated selectivity value; and

f) combining the selectivity values obtained in step d) and the estimated selectivity

values obtained in step e) to estimate the selectivity of the query.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1 comprising the step of multiplying the estimated

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

selectivity by a Cartesian product of the tables referenced by the predicates to obtain a

cardinality of the query.

 (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the step of separating the query selectivity is performed by separating the predicates that reference different sets of database tables to form a

product of query selectivity factors that reference different sets of database tables.

4. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the product formed in step c) further comprises

a query selectivity factor and wherein steps b) - f) are recursively performed to determine a

selectivity value for the query selectivity factor in step c).

5. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein steps b) - f) are recursively performed until a

non-separable query selectivity that can only be decomposed into a single conditional selectivity

expression results.

(Original) The method of claim 1 comprising the step of storing the estimated selectivity

of the query obtained in step f) in memory.

7. (Original) The method of claim 6 comprising the step of first determining whether an

estimated selectivity is stored for a query and returning that value to approximate the number of

tuples returned by the query.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1 comprising the step of associating an error with the

estimated selectivity value that is based on an accuracy with which the statistic matched with the

conditional selectivity expression can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity

expression.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

9. (Original) The method of claim 8 comprising the step of combining the error associated

with each conditional selectivity expression to obtain an estimated error for the selectivity

estimation for the query.

10. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the stored statistics comprise histograms on

results of previously executed query expressions.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the step of matching the conditional selectivity

expressions with stored statistics is performed by:

compiling a set of candidate statistics that can be used to estimate the selectivity of the

conditional selectivity expression; and

selecting candidate statistics to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity

expression based on a selection criteria.

12. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein the selection criteria for a candidate statistic

is determined by computing a number of independence assumptions that are made when the candidate is used to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expression and the

selection criteria is to select the candidate that results in the least number of independence

assumptions.

....

13. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein the selection criteria for a candidate statistic

is determined by comparing the candidate statistic with a base statistic over the same column as

the candidate statistic and assigning a difference value to the candidate statistic based on a level

of difference between the candidate statistic and the base statistic.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

4. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein the step of compiling a set of candidate

statistics is performed by including statistics that are on results of queries having the same tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression and the same predicates over the tables referenced in the

conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the predicates over the tables referenced in the

conditional selectivity expression of a subset of the predicates over the tables referenced i

conditional selectivity expressions.

15. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the steps of decomposing the query selectivity and matching the conditional selectivity expressions are repeated to generate alternative products

and wherein one of those products is selected to estimate the selectivity of the query.

16. (Original) The method of claim 15 wherein the step of decomposing the query is done by

exhausting every alternative way of decomposing the query.

17. (Original) The method of claim 15 wherein the steps of decomposing the query

selectivity to form products of conditional selectivity expressions is performed based on an

optimizer search strategy.

18. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the query is disjunctive and comprising the

step of transforming the disjunctive predicates into conjunctive predicates by performing a De

Morgan transformation on the disjunctive query.

19. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the query comprises a GROUP BY predicate

over a grouping column and wherein the query is transformed prior to performance of the

method steps to return a number of distinct values in the grouping column.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

20. (Original) The method of claim 19 wherein the step of decomposing the query

selectivities performed by considering decompositions that are induced by coalescing grouping.

21 (Original) The method of claim 19 wherein the step of decomposing the query

selectivities performed by considering decompositions that are induced by invariant grouping.

22. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the stored statistics comprise histograms built

over computed columns in a query result.

23. (Currently amended) For use with a database system, a computer readable medium

having computer executable instructions stored thereon for performing method steps to

approximate a number of tuples returned by a database query that comprises a set of predicates that each reference a set of database tables, the method comprising the steps of:

expressing the query as a query selectivity;

determining if the query is separable and if so separating the query selectivity to b)

form a product of query selectivity factors;

if the query is not separable, atomically decomposing the query selectivity to form

a product that comprises a conditional selectivity expression:

recursively performing steps b) - c) to determine a selectivity value for each

query selectivity factor;

matching any conditional selectivity expression with stored statistics to obtain

statistics that can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expressions and using the

statistics to obtain an estimated selectivity value; and

combining the selectivity values obtained in step d) and the estimated selectivity

values obtained in step e) to estimate the selectivity of the query.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608.083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

24. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 comprising the step of multiplying

the estimated selectivity by a Cartesian product of the tables referenced by the predicates to

obtain a cardinality of the query.

database tables

25. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the step of separating the

query selectivity is performed by separating the predicates that reference different sets of

database tables to form a product of query selectivity factors that reference different sets of

26. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the product formed in

step c) further comprises a query selectivity factor and wherein steps b) - f) are recursively performed to determine a selectivity value for the query selectivity factor in step c).

27. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein steps b) - f) are

recursively performed until a non-separable query selectivity that can only be decomposed into a

single conditional selectivity expression results.

28. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 comprising the step of storing the

estimated selectivity of the query obtained in step f) in memory.

29. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 28 comprising the step of first

determining whether an estimated selectivity is stored for a query and returning that value to

approximate the number of tuples returned by the query.

30. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 comprising the step of associating

an error with the estimated selectivity value that is based on an accuracy with which the statistic

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

matched with the conditional selectivity expression can estimate the selectivity of the conditional

selectivity expression.

31. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 30 comprising the step of combining

the error associated with each conditional selectivity expression to obtain an estimated error for

the selectivity estimation for the query.

32. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the stored statistics

comprise histograms on results of previously executed query expressions.

33. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the step of matching the

conditional selectivity expressions with stored statistics is performed by:

compiling a set of candidate statistics that can be used to estimate the selectivity of the

conditional selectivity expression; and

selecting candidate statistics to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity

expression based on a selection criteria.

34. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 33 wherein the selection criteria for a

candidate statistic is determined by computing a number of independence assumptions that are made when the candidate is used to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity

made when the candidate is used to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity

expression and the selection criteria is to select the candidate that results in the least number of

independence assumptions.

35. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 33 wherein the selection criteria for a

candidate statistic is determined by comparing the candidate statistic with a base statistic over the

same column as the candidate statistic and assigning a difference value to the candidate statistic

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

based on a level of difference between the candidate statistic and the base statistic.

36. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 33 wherein the step of compiling a

set of candidate statistics is performed by including statistics that are on results of queries having

the same tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression and the same predicates over the tables

referenced in the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the predicates over the tables

referenced in the conditional selectivity expressions.

37. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the steps of decomposing

the query selectivity and matching the conditional selectivity expressions are repeated to

generate alternative products and wherein one of those products is selected to estimate the

selectivity of the query.

38. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 37 wherein the step of decomposing

the query is done by exhausting every alternative way of decomposing the query.

39. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 37 wherein the steps of decomposing

the query selectivity to form products of conditional selectivity expressions is performed based

on an optimizer search strategy.

40. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the query is disjunctive

and comprising the step of transforming the disjunctive predicates into conjunctive predicates by

performing a De Morgan transformation on the disjunctive query.

41. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the query comprises a

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

1 ming Date. June 27,

GROUP BY predicate over a grouping column and wherein the query is transformed prior to

performance of the method steps to return a number of distinct values in the grouping column.

42. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 41 wherein the step of decomposing

the query selectivities performed by considering decompositions that are induced by coalescing

grouping.

43. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 41 wherein the step of decomposing

the query selectivities performed by considering decompositions that are induced by invariant

grouping.

44. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein the stored statistics

comprise histograms built over computed columns in a query result.

(Currently amended) An apparatus for approximating a number of tuples returned by a

database query that comprises a set of predicates that each reference a set of database tables

comprising:

45.

a) means for expressing the query as a query selectivity;

b) means for determining if the query is separable;

c) means for separating the query selectivity to form a product of query selectivity

factors if the query is separable;

d) means for atomically decomposing the query selectivity to form a product that

comprises a conditional selectivity expression if the query is not separable;

e) means for recursively performing steps b) - d) to determine a selectivity value for

each query selectivity factor;

means for matching any conditional selectivity expression with stored statistics to

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

Timig Dute, vane 27

obtain statistics that can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expressions and means for using the statistics to obtain an estimated selectivity value; and

g) means for combining the selectivity values obtained in step d) and the estimated

selectivity values obtained in step e) to estimate the selectivity of the query.

46. (Currently amended) A method for approximating a number of tuples returned by a

database query that comprises a set of predicates that each reference a set of database tables, the

method comprising the steps of:

a) expressing the query as a query selectivity;

b) determining if the query is separable and if so separating the query selectivity by

separating the predicates that reference different sets of database tables to form a product of

query selectivity factors that reference different sets of database tables;

c) if the query is not separable, repeatedly atomically decomposing the query

selectivity to form a product that comprises a conditional selectivity expression to generate

alternative products and wherein one of those products is selected to estimate the selectivity of the query;

d) recursively performing steps b) - c) to determine a selectivity value for each

query selectivity factor:

e) matching any conditional selectivity expression with stored statistics to obtain

statistics that can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expressions by:

i) compiling a set of candidate statistics that can be used to estimate the

selectivity of the conditional selectivity expression;

ii) selecting candidate statistics to estimate the selectivity of the conditional

selectivity expression based on a selection criteria; and

iii) using the statistics to obtain an estimated selectivity value.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

47. (Original) The method of claim 46 wherein the selection criteria for a candidate statistic

is determined by computing a number of independence assumptions that are made when the candidate is used to estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expression and the

selection criteria is to select the candidate that results in the least number of independence

assumptions.

48. (Original) The method of claim 46 wherein the selection criteria for a candidate statistic

is determined by comparing the candidate statistic with a base statistic over the same column as the candidate statistic and assigning a difference value to the candidate statistic based on a level

of difference between the candidate statistic and the base statistic.

49. (Original) The method of claim 46 wherein the step of compiling a set of candidate

statistics is performed by including statistics that are on results of queries having the same tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the tables referenced by the

conditional selectivity expression and the same predicates over the tables referenced in the

conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the predicates over the tables referenced in the

conditional selectivity expressions.

50. (Original) The method of claim 46 wherein the product formed in step c) further

comprises a query selectivity factor and wherein steps b) - f) are recursively performed to

determine a selectivity value for the query selectivity factor in step c).

51. (Original) The method of claim 46 wherein steps b) - f) are recursively performed until a

non-separable query selectivity that can only be decomposed into a single conditional selectivity

expression results.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

52. (Original) The method of claim 46 comprising the step of associating an error with the

estimated selectivity value that is based on an accuracy with which the statistic matched with the

conditional selectivity expression can estimate its selectivity.

53. (Original) The method of claim 52 comprising the step of combining the error associated

with each conditional selectivity expression to obtain an estimated error for the selectivity

estimation for the query.

54. (Currently amended) A computer readable medium having computer executable

instructions stored thereon for approximating a number of tuples returned by a database query

that comprises a set of predicates that each reference a set of database tables, the method

comprising the steps of:

a) expressing the query as a query selectivity;

b) determining if the query is separable and if so separating the query selectivity by

separating the predicates that reference different sets of database tables to form a product of

query selectivity factors that reference different sets of database tables;

c) if the query is not separable, repeatedly atomically decomposing the query

selectivity to form a product that comprises a conditional selectivity expression to generate

alternative products and wherein one of those products is selected to estimate the selectivity of

the query;

d) recursively performing steps b) - c) to determine a selectivity value for each

query selectivity factor:

e) matching any conditional selectivity expression with stored statistics to obtain

statistics that can estimate the selectivity of the conditional selectivity expressions by:

i) compiling a set of candidate statistics that can be used to estimate the

selectivity of the conditional selectivity expression;

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

ii) selecting candidate statistics to estimate the selectivity of the conditional

selectivity expression based on a selection criteria; and

iii) using the statistics to obtain an estimated selectivity value.

(Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 wherein the selection

criteria for a candidate statistic is determined by computing a number of independence assumptions that are made when the candidate is used to estimate the selectivity of the

conditional selectivity expression and the selection criteria is to select the candidate that results

in the least number of independence assumptions.

56. (Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 wherein the selection

criteria for a candidate statistic is determined by comparing the candidate statistic with a base

statistic over the same column as the candidate statistic and assigning a difference value to the

candidate statistic based on a level of difference between the candidate statistic and the base

statistic.

55.

57. (Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 wherein the step of

compiling a set of candidate statistics is performed by including statistics that are on results of queries having the same tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of

the tables referenced by the conditional selectivity expression and the same predicates over the

tables referenced in the conditional selectivity expression or a subset of the predicates over the

tables referenced in the conditional selectivity expressions.

58. (Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 wherein the product

formed in step c) further comprises a query selectivity factor and wherein steps b) - f) are

recursively performed to determine a selectivity value for the query selectivity factor in step c).

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01

59. (Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 wherein steps b) - f)

are recursively performed until a non-separable query selectivity that can only be decomposed

into a single conditional selectivity expression results.

60. (Previously presented) The computer readable medium of claim 54 comprising the step of

associating an error with the estimated selectivity value that is based on an accuracy with which

the statistic matched with the conditional selectivity expression can estimate its selectivity.

61. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 60 comprising the step of combining

the error associated with each conditional selectivity expression to obtain an estimated error for

the selectivity estimation for the query.

Type of Response: Amendment Application Number: 10/608,083 Attorney Docket Number: 302692.01 Filing Date: June 27, 2003